1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	* * *	
7	ROBERT C. DENHAM JR,	Case No. 2:21-cv-049-KJD-BNW
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER
9	v.	
10	CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12	On October 15, 2021, the magistrate judge issued an order (#16) denying Plaintiff's	
13	motion to amend. Since that date, Plaintiff has taken no action of record. On July 15, 2022, the	
14	Clerk of the Court issued a notice pursuant to Local Rule 41-1 warning Plaintiff that if no action	
15	was taken in this case on or before August 14, 2022, the Court would dismiss this action for want	
16	of prosecution. Plaintiff failed to respond in any manner. Therefore, in accordance with Federal	
17	Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a) and LR 41-1 and good cause being found, the Court dismisses this	
18	action for want of prosecution.	
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED with	
20	prejudice in accordance with Rule 41.	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	DATED this 3rd day of November 2022.	
23		
24		The Honorable Kent J. Dawson
25		United States District Judge
26		
27		
28		